

### **Introduction**

# **A Single Online Agent Can Efficiently Learn Mean Field Games**

**Chenyu Zhang**: Data Science Institute, Columbia University **Xu Chen**: Department of Civil Engineering & Engineering Mechanics, Columbia University **Xuan (Sharon) Di**: Department of Civil Engineering & Engineering Mechanics, Columbia University [\(sharon.di@columbia.edu\)](mailto:sharon.di@columbia.edu)

This work explores single-agent model-free online learning for mean field games (MFGs), where the impact of other agents is encapsulated in the *mean field*, i.e., the population distribution. Solving an MFG aims to find an equilibrium policy and its induced population distribution such that no individual agent can improve its performance by unilaterally deviating from the equilibrium.

**Ditect** 

#### **Limitations of existing methods:**

- ▶ *Fixed-point iteration* (FPI) and its variants calculate the best responses (BR) and the induced population (IP) distribution *sequentially*, impeding parallel computing and increasing the *computational complexity*.
- ▶ Calculating IPs typically requires the knowledge of the transition dynamics, limiting the use of *model-free* methods.
- ▶ Without prior knowledge, direct observability of population dynamics is required, restricting the feasibility of learning with a *single online agent* on a single sample trajectory.

*Can a single online agent efficiently learn the equilibria of mean field games without any prior knowledge?*

### **Contributions**

- 1. Develop **QM iteration (QMI)**, a novel single-agent model-free scheme for learning MFGs using online samples without prior knowledge of the environment or population.
- 2. QMI updates the BR and IP estimates *simultaneously* using the same batch of online observations, rendering it *sample-efficient* and *parallelizable*.
- 3. Two variants, *off-policy* and *on-policy* QMI, are proposed, each with distinct features.
- 4. Finite time sample complexity guarantees are provided.

 $SAR^2L^2\sigma^2$  $\lambda_{\sf m}^2$  $_{\mathsf{min}}^{\mathsf{2}}(\mathsf{1}-\gamma)^{\mathsf{5}}$ .

#### **Illustration:**



## Off-Policy and On-Policy QMI



- 1: **Input:** initial value functions *Q*<sup>−</sup>1,*<sup>T</sup>* = *Q*<sup>0</sup> and *M*<sup>−</sup>1,*<sup>T</sup>* = *M*0; initial state  $s_0$ ; option of  $f$ -policy or on-policy
- 2: **for** *k* = 0, 1, ...,*K* **do**
- 3:  $Q_{k,0} = Q_{k-1,T}, M_{k,0} = M_{k-1,T}$
- 4:  $\pi_{k,0} = \Gamma_{\pi}(Q_{k,0})$
- 5: **for** *t* = 0, 1, . . . , *T* **do**
- 6:  $\;$  sample one Markovian observation tuple  $(\bm{s}_t, \bm{a}_t, \bm{s}_{t+1}, \bm{a}_{t+1})$  following policy π*k*,*<sup>t</sup>*
- 7: observe the reward  $r_{k,t} = r(s_t, a_t, M_{k,0})$
- 8:  $\bm{Q}_{k,t+1}(\bm{s}_t, \bm{a}_t) \!=\! \bm{Q}_{k,t}(\bm{s}_t, \bm{a}_t) \!-\! \alpha_t(\bm{Q}_{k,t}(\bm{s}_t))$
- 9:  $\mathcal{M}_{k,t+1} = \mathcal{M}_{k,t} \beta_t(\mathcal{M}_{k,t}(\boldsymbol{s}_t) \delta_{\boldsymbol{s}_{t+1}})$
- 10: **if** off-policy **then**
- 11:  $\pi_{k,t+1} = \pi_{k,0}$
- 12: **else if** on-policy **then**

$$
_{k,0}^{(k,0)}(x,t) = r_{k,t} - \gamma \mathcal{Q}_{k,t}(s_{t+1},a_{t+1}))
$$

13: 
$$
\pi_{k,t+1} = \Gamma_{\pi} \left( \text{mix} \left( \{ Q_{k,l} \}_{l=0}^{t+1} \right) \right)
$$

- 14: **end if**
- 15: **end for**
- 16: **end for**
- 17: **return** *QK*,*<sup>T</sup>* , *MK*,*<sup>T</sup>*

### **Learning process:**



#### **Comparison of two variants:**

### **Off-Policy On-Policy**



# Theorem (Sample complexity of QMI)

*where*

 $C \leq$ 

Numerical Experiments

#### **Ring road speed control:**







*Suppose the underlying MDP is ergodic and MFG is*  $(1 - \kappa)$ *contractive, as well as the transition kernel and policy operator are* L-Lipschitz continuous for off- and on-policy QMI, respectively. Let  $μ^*$ *be the MFNE population distribution. Then the algorithm returns an* ϵ*-approximate MFNEwith the number of iterations being at most*  $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{O}\left(\kappa^{-1}\log \epsilon^{-1}\right), \hspace{1em} \mathcal{T} = \mathcal{C}\cdot \mathcal{O}\left(\kappa^{-2}\epsilon^{-2}\log \epsilon^{-1}\right),$ 

(b) Sioux Falls network